Propagation of nonlinear waves in a rarefied bubbly flow

Alexander A. Chesnokov[1,2], Maxim V. Pavlov[3,4]

[1]Lavrentyev Institute of Hydrodynamics SB RAS
15 Lavrentyev Ave., Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia
chesnokov@hydro.nsc.ru

[2] Novosibirsk State University
2 Pirogova Str., Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

[3] Lebedev Physical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences
Leninskij Prospekt 53, 119991 Moscow, Russia
m.v.pavlov@lboro.ac.uk

[4] Laboratory of Geometrical Methods in Mathematical Physics,
Lomonosov Moscow State University,
Leninskie Gory 1, 119991 Moscow, Russia

arXiv:1401.7396v1 [nlin.SI] 29 Jan 2014

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Mathematical model **3**
2.1 Hyperbolicity conditions for the kinetic equation 4
2.2 Example of verification of the hyperbolicity conditions 5

3 Conservation form of the model **6**
3.1 Approximate model 7

4 Conservation laws and reductions **9**
4.1 Finite component reductions 10
4.2 Special class of solutions 12
4.3 Fluid dynamic limit 13

5 Modified Benney hydrodynamic chain **14**
5.1 Method of Hydrodynamic Reductions 15

6 Numerical results **18**
6.1 Kinetic roll-over 19

7 Conclusion **20**

Abstract

The one-dimension Russo–Smereka kinetic equation describing the propagation of nonlinear concentration waves in a rarefied bubbly fluid is considered. Reductions of the model to finite component systems are derived. Stability of the bubbly flow in terms of hyperbolicity of the kinetic equation is studied. Conservation form of the model is proposed and numerical solution of the Cauchy problem with discontinuous initial data is obtained.

Key words: kinetic equation, bubbly flow, nonlinear waves, hyperbolicity, reductions, conservation laws, Riemann invariants, hydrodynamic chain.

1 Introduction

A kinetic theory based on the statistical description of the interaction of a large number of bubbles has been developed for modelling of nonlinear waves in a rarefied bubbly flow [1, 2, 3]. The kinetic models taking into account the effect of collective interaction between bubbles are derived using the system of Hamilton's ODEs describing the motion of individual bubbles. To obtain this system of equations, one needs to know the kinetic energy of the fluid [4]. Assuming that all bubbles are rigid massless spheres of the same radius, Russo and Smereka [1] approximately calculated the energy and Hamiltonian of a bubble motion and obtained the kinetic equation for the evolution of the one-particle distribution function. This model is analogous to the Vlasov equation for plasma flow and describes the collective behavior of a large number of bubbles subject to long-range interactions, modelled by self-consistent field.

The characteristic properties of the Russo–Smereka kinetic equation for the case of one space variable are studied by Teshukov [5] on the base of a generalized theory of characteristics and notion of hyperbolicity for integro-differential equations [6, 7]. In [5], hyperbolicity conditions of the model are formulated and Riemann invariants and infinite series of conservation laws are found. The exact solutions of the Russo–Smereka kinetic equation in the classes of travelling and simple waves, as well as solutions with linearly dependent Riemann invariants, are obtained and studied in [8, 9].

In the paper, we propose a conservation form of the kinetic model, which allows one to consider discontinuous solutions. Differential conservation laws approximating the Russo–Smereka kinetic equation are derived. These laws are used to perform numerical calculations of wave propagation in a rarefied bubbly flow, which show the possibility of the kinetic roll-over (the formation of two peaks of the distribution function which originally had a single peak). Stability analysis of the flows in terms of hyperbolicity of the model shows that the effect of the kinetic roll-over of the distribution function leads to instability of the flow. Some reductions of the kinetic equations to finite component systems are also obtained and their properties are studied.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we present different formulations of the Russo–Smereka kinetic model. Each formulation more suitable for some specific

application. We also recall hyperbolicity conditions for this integro-differential model and give an example of verification of the hyperbolicity conditions. Conservation form of the kinetic model for a bubbly flow is proposed in Sections 3, as well as its approximation on the base of a system of differential conservation laws with a large number of unknowns. In Section 4, we introduce the hydrodynamic chain associated with the Russo–Smereka kinetic equation. We show that this chain possesses infinitely many conservation laws. This means that this chain as well as the Russo–Smereka kinetic equation are integrable systems. Then we constructed finite-component reductions utilizing generalized functions. In Section 5 we proved that the hydrodynamic chain associated with the Russo–Smereka kinetic model is the modified Benney hydrodynamic chain derived by Kupershmidt. We utilized the method of hydrodynamic reductions, which allows to construct infinitely many particular solutions for the Russo–Smereka kinetic model. Numerical results we present in Section 6. Finally, we draw some conclusions.

2 Mathematical model

In the one-dimensional case, the Russo–Smereka kinetic equation in dimensionless variables is written as follows [1, 5]

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (p-j)\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + p\frac{\partial j}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = 0, \quad j(t,x) = \int pf(t,x,p)\,dp. \tag{1}$$

Here $f(t,x,p) \geq 0$ is the distribution function for bubbles in phase space, x and p are the position and momentum of the bubble, t is time, and j is the first moment of the distribution function. It is assumed that f decreases rapidly at infinity or is finite over the variable p. The model is suitable for the description of a rarefied bubbly flow in the case of small pressure variations. The condition for a bubbly flow to be rarefied is given by the inequality

$$n(t,x) = \int f\,dp < 1. \tag{2}$$

As was shown in [5], to study the properties of the kinetic equation (1), it is appropriate to transform to the Eulerian–Lagrangian coordinates x and λ by substitution the variable $p = p(t,x,\lambda)$, where the function $p(t,x,\lambda)$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$p_t + (p-j)p_x = pj_x, \quad p(0,x,\lambda) = p_0(x,\lambda), \quad \lambda \in [0,1]. \tag{3}$$

As result, for the new desired functions $p(t,x,\lambda)$ and $f(t,x,\lambda)$, we obtain the integro-differential system of equations [5, 7]

$$p_t + (p-j)p_x - pj_x = 0, \quad f_t + (p-j)f_x = 0, \quad j = \int pp_\lambda f\,d\lambda. \tag{4}$$

Indeed, let us show that Eqs. (4) are derived as a consequence of the Russo–Smereka model (1). We use $\tilde{f}$ to denote the distribution function in semi-Lagrangian coordinates:

$$\tilde{f}(t,x,\lambda) = f(t,x,p(t,x,\lambda)).$$

Then, the derivatives are represented as

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}.$$

These formulae and (3) imply the obvious equalities

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} + (p-j)\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (p-j)\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + p\frac{\partial j}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = 0$$

which lead to the second equation of system (4).

In what follows, we assume that the inequality $p_\lambda > 0$ is satisfied, which provides invertibility of the change of variables.

2.1 Hyperbolicity conditions for the kinetic equation

Eqs. (4) belong to the class of systems with operator coefficients of the form

$$\mathbf{U}_t + \mathbf{A}\langle \mathbf{U}_x \rangle = 0, \tag{5}$$

for which a generalization of the hyperbolicity notion was proposed in [6]. Here, $\mathbf{U}(t,x,\lambda)$ is unknown vector function and $\mathbf{A}$ is a non-local operator on a set of functions of λ. Characteristics of the system (5) are determined by equation $x'(t) = k(t,x)$, where k is an eigenvalue of problem $(\mathbf{F}, (\mathbf{A} - kI)\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rangle) = 0$. The eigenfunctional $\mathbf{F}$ is defined on a set of functions of variable λ, while the values of t and x are considered to be fixed, and is sought in a class of locally integrable or generalized functions ($\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\lambda)$ is a smooth test function). The system of eqs. (5) is hyperbolic if all eigenvalues k are real and the set of relations on the characteristics $(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{U}_t + k\mathbf{U}_x) = 0$ is equivalent to (5).

As it follows from [5, 7], hyperbolicity conditions for the Russo–Smereka kinetic equation (1) on a solution $f(t,x,p)$ are formulated in terms of characteristic function

$$\chi(k+j) = 1 - n + (k+j)^2 \int \frac{f\, dp}{(p-k-j)^2}, \tag{6}$$

or, more precisely, in terms of its limit values on the real axis from upper and lower complex half-planes

$$\chi^{\pm}(p) = 1 - n(t,x) + p^2 \int \frac{\partial f(t,x,p')}{\partial p'}\frac{dp'}{p'-p} \pm \pi i p^2 \frac{\partial f(t,x,p)}{\partial p}, \tag{7}$$

which are obtained from (6) by integration by parts and application of Sokhotski–Plemelj formulae.

According to [5, 7], kinetic equation (1) is hyperbolic on the rapidly decreasing solution $f(t,x,p)$ if the following conditions hold

$$\Delta \arg \chi^{\pm}(p) = 0. \tag{8}$$

The argument increment is calculated when p changes from $-\infty$ to ∞ at fixed values of variables t and x. If supp f is bounded, then the conditions (8) take the form

$$\Delta\arg\big(\chi^+(p)/\chi^-(p)\big) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where the argument increment is calculated for $p \in \text{supp}\, f$. The hyperbolicity conditions (8) (or (9)) guarantee that characteristic equation $\chi(k+j) = 0$ has no complex roots, and these conditions are necessary for flow stability.

2.2 Example of verification of the hyperbolicity conditions

Conditions (8) (or (9)) allow one to verify whether the Russo–Smereka kinetic equation (1) is hyperbolic for a given solution $f(t, x, p)$. Following [7, 10], in the plane (Z^1, Z^2) we construct a closed contour C consisting of the contours C^+ and C^-. The contour C^+ is given parametrically by the equations

$$Z^1 = \text{Re}\{\chi^+(p)\}, \quad Z^2 = \text{Im}\{\chi^+(p)\}.$$

where the complex functions $\chi^{\pm}(p)$ are defined by (7). A contour C^-, which is symmetric about the Z^1 axis to the contour C^+ is given by the same equation with the function $\chi^-(p)$. If the point of $Z^1 = 0$, $Z^2 = 0$ lies in the domain bounded by the contour C, then the characteristic equation $\chi(k) = 0$ has complex roots (function $\chi(k)$ is given by (6)). Otherwise, the kinetic equation for the corresponding solution is hyperbolic.

In the theory of plasma waves the following result is known [11]: any solution of the one-dimensional linearized Vlasov equation is stable if it defined by a distribution function with a single maximum. We show that in the kinetic theory for a bubbly flow it is not true. As mentioned above, hyperbolicity conditions (8) are violated if the point $Z^1 = 0$, $Z^2 = 0$ is in the domain bounded by the contour C. In view of the inequality $Z^1 \to 1 - n > 0$ for $p \to \pm\infty$ (here n is given by (2)), this is possible only if the conditions $Z^1(p_*) < 0$ and $Z^2(p_*) = 0$ are satisfied at some point $p_* \in (-\infty, \infty)$. The equality $Z^2(p_*) = 0$ is satisfied at the single interior point of $p = p_c$ at which the distribution function $f(p)$ reaches a local maximum. Since the distributions $f = f(p)$ with one maximum obey the inequality $(p_c - p)f'(p) \geq 0$, one can conclude that the sign of the quantity

$$Z^1(p_c) = 1 - n + p_c^2 \int \frac{f'(p)dp}{p - p_c}$$

depends on the point p_c. Bubbly flow is stable if the extreme point p_c is sufficiently close to zero (this guarantee that the inequality $Z^1(p_c) > 0$ is fulfilled).

Let us verify hyperbolicity conditions (8) for the distribution function with two maxima

$$f(p) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}\Big(\exp\big(-(p-a)^2\big) + \exp\big(-(p+a)^2\big)\Big), \quad p \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Figure 1 shows a plot of this function for $a = 1$ (solid curve) and $a = 3/2$ (dashed). The contours $C+$ corresponding to these functions are plotted in Figure 2; the direction of



Figure 1: Distribution function $f(p)$ with two maxima: the solid curve corresponds to $a = 1$; the dashed curve to $a = 3/2$.



Figure 2: Contours C^+ in the complex plane (Z^1, Z^2) (notation the same as in Figure 1).

circulation about the contours is positive (counter-clockwise). As can be seen from the graphs, the hyperbolicity conditions (8) are fulfilled for the distribution function with closely spaced peaks and a small difference in the values of the local maxima and minima (solid curve in Figure 1). Increasing the distance between the peaks of the distribution function, as well as the amplitude of local extrema, leads to an increment in the argument of the functions $\chi^{\pm}$ (dashed line in Figure 2). This corresponds to existence of the complex characteristic roots and, consequently, the flow is unstable.

3 Conservation form of the model

The evolution of a smooth solution of the hyperbolic system of equations can involve a gradient catastrophe. Therefore, further description of the solutions is possible only for the class of discontinuous functions. It leads to the necessity to formulate the model in a form of conservation laws. To do this, we will present (4) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &H_t + \big((p-j)H\big)_x = 0, \quad p_{\lambda t} + \big((p-j)p_\lambda\big)_x = 0, \\ &j_t + \big(A_2 - 3j^2/2\big)_x = 0 \quad \Big(j = \int pH\, d\lambda, \quad A_2 = \int p^2 H\, d\lambda, \quad H = p_\lambda f\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The first condition in (10) is the local conservation of a number of bubbles, the second condition is equivalent to the conservation of the function f along the trajectory, and the last equation is the conservation law for hydrodynamic momentum j. Note that Eqs. (10) are similar to conservation laws of the shallow water equations for shear flows [12, 13].

From conservation laws (10) we obtain the Hugoniot conditions at the shock front $x = x(t)$ moving with the velocity $V = x'(t)$:

$$\big[(p-j-V)H\big] = 0, \quad \big[(p-j-V)p_\lambda\big] = 0, \quad \big[A_2 - 3j^2/2 - Vj\big] = 0. \tag{11}$$

Here $[\varphi(t,x,\lambda)] = \varphi(t,x(t)+0,\lambda) - \varphi(t,x(t)-0,\lambda)$ is a jump of the function φ at the

shock front. Calculating the ratio of continuous quantities $(p-j-V)H$ and $(p-j-V)p_\lambda$, we obtain the following jump relation: $[f]=0$.

Let us show that the systems (4) and (10) are equivalent for smooth solutions. Obviously, system (10) is a consequence of equations (4) obtained by simple transformations. Next we show that (4) is a consequence of (10). From the first two equations (10) we have $f_t+(p-j)f_x=0$. Integrating with respect to λ the second equation in (10) gives the equality

$$p_t+(p-j)p_x-pj_x=F(t,x),$$

where $F(t,x)$ is an arbitrary function. Let us multiply this equation by H and integrate with respect to λ. Taking into account the equality

$$(p_t+(p-j)p_x)H=(pH)_t+((p-j)pH)_x\,,$$

which holds by virtue of (10), after integration we have

$$j_t+\left(A_2-3j^2/2\right)_x=nF.$$

The third equation in (10) leads to the identity $F\equiv 0$, which proves equivalence of (4) and (10) for smooth solutions.

3.1 Approximate model

To derive differential conservation laws that approximate the integro-differential model (10), we divide the segment $\lambda\in[0,1]$ into M intervals $0\le\lambda_0<\lambda_1<...<\lambda_{M-1}<\lambda_M\le 1$ and introduce the variables

$$p_i(t,x)=p(t,x,\lambda_i)\quad h_i=p_i-p_{i-1},\quad \bar p_i=\frac{p_i+p_{i-1}}{2},\quad \bar f_i(t,x)=\frac{1}{h_i}\int\limits_{\lambda_{i-1}}^{\lambda_i}H\,d\lambda.$$

Taking into account the equality $H\,d\lambda=f\,dp$ and using a piecewise constant approximation of the distribution function

$$f(t,x,p)=\bar f_i(t,x),\quad p\in[p_{i-1},p_i]$$

we have the equalities

$$\int\limits_{\lambda_{i-1}}^{\lambda_i}pH\,d\lambda=\bar f_i h_i\bar p_i,\quad A_2=\sum_{i=1}^{M}\int\limits_{p_{i-1}}^{p_i}p^2f\,dp=\sum_{i=1}^{M}\left(\frac{\bar f_i h_i^3}{12}+\bar f_i h_i\bar p_i^2\right).$$

Next, we integrate the first two equations in (10) with respect to λ over the intervals $(\lambda_{i-1},\lambda_i)$ and use the previous formulae. As result we obtain a system of conservation laws consisting of $2M+1$ differential equations for the unknown functions $h_i(t,x)$, $\bar f_i(t,x)$, and $j(t,x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big((\bar p_i-j)h_i\Big)=0,\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\bar f_i h_i\Big)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(\big(\bar p_i-j\big)\bar f_i h_i\Big)=0,\\ &\frac{\partial j}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M}\Big(\frac{\bar f_i h_i^3}{12}+\bar f_i h_i\bar p_i^2\Big)-\frac{3}{2}j^2\right)=0.\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

The quantities $p_{ci}(t,x)$ included in (12) are given by the formulae

$$\bar{p}_i = -\frac{h_i}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{i} h_k + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \bar{f}_i h_i \right)^{-1} \left(j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \bar{f}_i h_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{M} \bar{f}_i h_i \sum_{k=1}^{i} h_k \right).$$

To solve the differential conservation laws (12) numerically, one can apply Godunov type methods. In this case, due to a large number of equations in the system (12), it is convenient to use central schemes [14], which do not require exact or approximate solution of the Riemann problem.

System (12) can be written in the following form

$$\mathbf{u}_t + A(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}_x = 0, \tag{13}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (h_1, ..., h_M, \bar{f}_1, ..., \bar{f}_M, j)$ and $A(\mathbf{u})$ is a corresponding matrix. As follows from (12), the functions $\bar{f}_i$ satisfy the equations $\bar{f}_{it} + (\bar{p}_i - j)\bar{f}_{ix} = 0$. It means that the matrix $A(\mathbf{u})$ has the following eigenvalues: $k_i^* = \bar{p}_i - j$ $(i = 1, ..., M)$. To find the others eigenvalues of A, one can use the equation

$$\bar{\chi}(k) = 1 - n + (k + j)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Big(\frac{1}{q_{i-1} - k} - \frac{1}{q_i - k} \Big) \bar{f}_i = 0 \tag{14}$$

where $\bar{\chi}(k)$ is a discrete analogue of the characteristic function (6), $q_i = p_i - j$ and the moments n and j are given by formulae

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \bar{f}_i h_i, \quad j = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \bar{p}_i \bar{f}_i h_i \,.$$

In the hyperbolic case Eq. (14) has $M + 1$ real roots k_i $(i = 1, ..., M + 1)$ in the interval $(p_0 - j, p_M - j)$.



Figure 3: Graph of the function $\bar{\chi}(k)$ for $M = 5$.

Let the values $\bar{f}_i$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\bar{f}_1 < \bar{f}_2 < ... < \bar{f}_l, \quad \bar{f}_M < \bar{f}_{M-1} < ... < \bar{f}_l,$$

that correspond to an approximation of the distribution function with a single maximum. Then the equation $\bar{\chi}(k) = 0$ has a unique root $k = k_i$ on the each interval $k \in (q_{i-1}, q_i)$, $i = 1, ..., l-1, l+1, ..., M$. Indeed, function $\bar{\chi}(k)$ is monotonic and varies from $-\infty$ to ∞ on the intervals (q_{i-1}, q_i) for $i = 1, ..., l-1$, and from ∞ to $-\infty$ for $i = l+1, ..., M$. Consequently, the system (12) is hyperbolic if the equation (14) has two roots in the interval (q_{l-1}, q_l). Since $\chi(-j) = 1 - n > 0$ and $\bar{\chi}(k)$ tends to $-\infty$ as $k \to q_{l-1}$ (or $k \to q_l$), then the inequalities

$$p_{l-1} < 0 < p_l \quad (q_{l-1} < -j < q_l)$$

are the sufficient conditions for hyperbolicity of the system (12).

The typical form of the function $\bar{\chi}(k)$ for $M = 5$ is shown in Figure 3. This graphic is obtained for the piecewise constant approximation of the distribution function with bounded support: $f(p) = (\cos p + 1)/8$, $p \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Note that the integro-differential model (4) has continuous characteristic spectrum $k = p - j$, where $p \in \operatorname{supp} f$.

4 Conservation laws and reductions

Consequence of the kinetic model (1) is the following infinite chain of equations

$$\frac{\partial A_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial A_{k+1}}{\partial x} - A_1 \frac{\partial A_k}{\partial x} - (k+1) A_k \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{15}$$

for the moments of the distribution function

$$A_k = \int p^k f \, dp \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...).$$

The chain (15) can be written as infinite series of conservation laws

$$\frac{\partial P_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial x} = 0, \tag{16}$$

where P_k and Q_k are polynomials in the A_i $(i = 0, ..., k+1)$. The first five conservation laws have the form

$$P_0 = A_0, \quad Q_0 = (1 - A_0)A_1; \quad P_1 = A_1, \quad Q_1 = A_2 - 3A_2^2/2;$$
$$P_2 = A_2 - A_1^2, \quad Q_2 = A_3 - 3A_1A_2 + 2A_1^3;$$
$$P_3 = A_3 - 3A_1A_2 + 2A_1^3, \quad Q_3 = A_4 - 4A_1A_3 - A_2^2 + 8A_1^2A_2 - 4A_1^4;$$
$$P_4 = A_4 - 4A_1A_3 - 2A_2^2 + 10A_1^2A_2 - 5A_1^4,$$
$$Q_4 = A_5 - 5A_1A_4 - 4A_2A_3 + 14A_1^2A_3 + 12A_1A_2^2 - 30A_1^3A_2 + 12A_1^5.$$

According to [5], algorithm for constructing conservation laws is as follows. Function

$$p(t, x, \xi) = -\xi + a_1(t, x) + a_2(t, x)\xi^{-1} + a_3(t, x)\xi^{-2} + ...$$

is the density of the conservation law

$$p_t + \left(p^2/2 - jp\right)_x = 0. \tag{17}$$

Coefficients a_i are expressed in terms of the moments of the distribution function $A_1, ..., A_i$. Let us introduce the function

$$\beta(\alpha) = a_1(t,x) + \alpha a_2(t,x) + \alpha^2 a_3(t,x) + ...$$

Differentiation of the identity

$$\alpha - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\beta} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty}(-1)^i\Big(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\beta}\Big)^i A_{i-1} \equiv 0$$

with respect to α at the point $\alpha = 0$ allows consistently determine the coefficients a_i. Substituting function p in the conservation law (17) and equating to zero the expressions of the same powers ξ leads to equations (16). Direct calculations show that the k-th conservation law (16) (based on previous) reduces to k-th chain equation (15).

The existence of an infinite number of conservation laws is a rare property of hydrodynamic models. Benney equations of the long-wave theory is well-known example of the model having an infinite number of conservation laws [15]. Both of the models, Russo–Smereka and Benney, are generalized hyperbolic in the sense of [6] and can be written in terms of the Riemann invariants, which are conserved along the characteristics.

4.1 Finite component reductions

Under certain assumptions about the distribution function the kinetic model (1) can be reduced to a system of differential equations. The following representation of the solution

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i(t,x)\delta(p - p_i(t,x))$$

leads to the "frozen" bubbly flow

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big((p_i - j)n_i\Big) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(\frac{p_i^2}{2} - p_i j\Big) = 0, \quad j = \sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i p_i.$$

Here $\delta(p)$ is a Dirac delta function. This system of equations has imaginary characteristic roots [16].

The waterbag concept known in plasma physics [17] allows one to obtain partial solutions of the kinetic equation (1) described by closed system of equations. We represent the k-th moment in the form [18]

$$A_k = \frac{1}{k+1}\sum_{i=0}^{M}\varepsilon_i p_i^{k+1}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{M}\varepsilon_i = 0,$$

where ε_i are arbitrary constants whose sum is equal to zero. Substitution of the above introduced moments into the chain (15) yields a closed system of $M+1$ equations for the unknown functions $p_i(t,x)$:

$$\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial t} + (p_i - j)\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x} - p_i \frac{\partial j}{\partial x} = 0, \quad j = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{M} \varepsilon_i p_i^2 \,. \tag{18}$$

This system coincides with the conservation laws (12) only for the class of solutions $\bar{f}_i = f_i = \text{const}$ (in this case (12) is an exact consequence of (1)). Note that due to the following representation of the solution (step function):

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{M} f_i\big(\theta(p - p_{i-1}) - \theta(p - p_i)\big) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{M} \varepsilon_i \theta(p - p_i),$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^{M} \varepsilon_i = 0, \quad f_{i+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{i} \varepsilon_i,$$

system (18) can be obtained directly from the kinetic model (1). This system of equations is hyperbolic under some conditions, which have been derived above.

The waterbag reduction (18) can be written in Riemann invariants

$$\frac{\partial r_m}{\partial t} + (k_m + j)\frac{\partial r_m}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (m = 1, ..., M+1),$$
$$r_m = \frac{n-1}{k_m + j} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} f_i \ln\Big|\frac{p_i - k_m - j}{p_{i-1} - k_m - j}\Big|,$$

where k_m are the roots of the characteristic equation (14). This representation allows one to construct exact solutions in the form

$$r_i = r_{i0} = \text{const} \quad (i \neq s), \quad r_s = r_s(k), \quad k = k_s + j.$$

These relations define functions $p_0(k), ..., p_M(k)$, where $k(t,x)$ is a solution of the equation $k_t + kk_x = 0$.

The existence of Riemann invariants for the system (18) follows from the fact that integro-differential equations (4) can be presented in the characteristic form [5]

$$f_t + (p - j)f_x = 0, \quad R_t + (p - j)R_x = 0,$$

where $f(t,x,\lambda)$ and

$$R(t,x,\lambda) = \frac{n(t,x) - 1}{p(t,x,\lambda)} + \int \frac{f(t,x,\nu)p_\nu \, d\nu}{p(t,x,\nu) - p(t,x,\lambda)} \tag{19}$$

are Riemann invariants (here function n is given by formula (2)).

4.2 Special class of solutions

We also consider solutions of Eq. (1) in the class of functions that are piecewise continuous in the variable p with a bounded support:

$$f = f^1(t,x,p)\big[\theta(p-p_l(t,x)) - \theta(p-p_r(t,x))\big].$$

Here θ is a Heaviside step function, p_l and p_r are the boundaries of the interval in the variable p, beyond which the distribution function is identically zero, and $f^1(t,x,p) > 0$ is a non-negative function which is continuously differentiable on the set $\{(t,x,p) : \ t \geq 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R},\ p \in [p_l, p_r]\}$. Substitution of this ansatz into (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (p-j)\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + p\frac{\partial j}{\partial x}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = 0, \quad j(t,x) = \int\limits_{p_l}^{p_r} p f(t,x,p)\,dp, \\ &\frac{\partial p_l}{\partial t} + (p_l - j)\frac{\partial p_l}{\partial x} - p_l\frac{\partial j}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial p_r}{\partial t} + (p_r - j)\frac{\partial p_r}{\partial x} - p_r\frac{\partial j}{\partial x} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $f = f^1$.

The class of solutions of the kinetic model (1) with a bounded support characterized by a linear relationship between the Riemann integral invariants was obtained in [9] on a base of the following property: if the functions $f(t,x,p)$, $p_l(t,x)$, and $p_r(t,x)$ are a solution of system (1), (20) then the quantity $R(t,x,p)$, which has been defined above by (19) in semi-Lagrangian coordinates, satisfies the equation

$$R_t + (p-j)R_x + pj_xR_p = 0.$$

Linear relationship between the invariants R and f

$$R + f\pi\cot(\mu\pi) - b = 0 \tag{21}$$

(μ and b are constants) leads to a special class of solutions [9]. In this case the distribution function f has the form

$$f = \frac{\sin(\mu\pi)}{\pi}\left(\frac{1-\mu b(p_r-p_l)}{p} + b\right)\left(\frac{p-p_l}{p_r-p}\right)^{\mu} \tag{22}$$

and the functions p_l and p_r satisfy to the system (20) with the following first moment

$$j(p_l,p_r) = \mu(p_r-p_l)\Big(1 + \frac{1+\mu}{2}bp_l + \frac{1-\mu}{2}bp_r\Big). \tag{23}$$

Description of discontinuous solutions from this special class is given on a base of closed system of conservation laws

$$n_t + \big((1-n)j\big)_x = 0, \quad j_t + \big(A_2(n,j) - 3j^2/2\big)_x = 0. \tag{24}$$

By virtue of (22) the moments n, j, and A_2 are expressed in terms of p_l and p_r by (23) and formulae

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 1 - \left(\frac{p_l}{p_r}\right)^{\mu}\Big(1-\mu b(p_r-p_l)\Big), \\ A_2 &= \mu(p_r-p_l)\Big(\frac{1+\mu}{2}p_r + \frac{1-\mu}{2}p_l + \frac{1-\mu^2}{3}b(p_r-p_l)^2 + bp_lp_r\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Below, these conservation laws will be used to perform numerical simulation of discontinuous bubbly flows from special class of solutions as well as to test results obtained on the base of general model (12).

Remark. In the general case relation (21) is not fulfilled at the shock front. It means we cannot use conservative form (24) for the description of discontinuous flows. Only the general system of conservation laws (12) can be applied for this purpose. Nevertheless, modelling of discontinuous flows with small amplitude jumps $\delta = [n]$ is possible on the base of simplified equations (24). Following [7, 12], one can show that $[R] = O(\delta^2)$ and, consequently, Hugoniot conditions

$$\big[(p-j-V)H\big] = 0, \quad \big[R + f\pi\cot(\mu\pi) - b\big] = 0, \quad \big[A_2 - 3j^2/2 - Vj\big] = 0,$$

which performed for a special class of solutions, coincide with relations (11) if the second-order terms in δ are neglected. Notice that the previous jump conditions are consequence of the following conservative form

$$\begin{aligned} &H_t + \big((p-j)H\big)_x = 0, \quad j_t + (A_2 - 3j^2/2)_x = 0, \\ &\big((R + f\pi\cot(\mu\pi) - b)H\big)_t + \big((R + f\pi\cot(\mu\pi) - b)(p-j)H\big)_x = 0 \end{aligned}$$

of the system (4).

4.3 Fluid dynamic limit

Let the distribution function has the form

$$f = \frac{n}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \exp\Big(-\frac{(p-\bar p)^2}{2T}\Big).$$

Calculation of moments of this distribution function

$$A_0 = n, \quad A_1 = j = n\bar p, \quad A_2 = (\bar p^2 + T)n, \quad A_3 = (\bar p^2 + 3T)n\bar p$$

and their substitution into the first three conservation laws (16) leads to the closed system of equations for the unknown functions $n(t,x)$, $\bar p(t,x)$ and $T(t,x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &n_t + (\bar u n)_x = 0, \quad (n\bar p)_t + (nT + n\bar p\bar u - n^2\bar p^2/2)_x = 0, \\ &(nT + n\bar p\bar u)_t + \big((3T + (1-2n)\bar p^2)n\bar u\big)_x = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\bar u = (1-n)\bar p$. This system of equations, obtained in [16], is hyperbolic for $T > n\bar p^2/3$. In fact, let us rewrite (25) in the form (13), where $\mathbf{u} = (n, \bar p, T)$. The eigenvalues of $A(\mathbf{u})$ are

$$k_0 = (1-n)\bar p, \quad k_{1,2} = (1-2n)\bar p \pm \sqrt{(1-n)(3T - n\bar p^2)}.$$

Taking $T = h_1^2/12$, $\bar p = \bar p_1$, and $n = \bar f_1 h_1$ it is easy to see that the system (25) coincides with differential approximation (12) for $M = 1$. Note that the eigenvalues $k_{1,2}$ are the roots of the characteristic equation (14).

5 Modified Benney hydrodynamic chain

For any given function $j(t,x)$ the Liouville equation

$$f_t + (p-j)f_x + pj_x f_p = 0 \tag{26}$$

admits a special solution which has an asymptotic expansion ($p \to \infty$)

$$f = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{B_0}{p^2} + \frac{B_1}{p^3} + \frac{B_2}{p^4} + ... \tag{27}$$

Of course, a solution of linear equation (26) is determined up to an arbitrary function of a single variable $\Phi(f(t,x,p))$. However, Russo–Smereka kinetic model (1) is a nonlinear integro-differential equation in partial derivatives, because the function $j(t,x)$ is not given, but is determined by the integral

$$j = \int p\Phi(f(t,x,p))\,dp, \tag{28}$$

where the function $\Phi(f(t,x,p))$ is an appropriate rapidly decreasing function at infinities such that above integral makes sense (here we omit investigation of the question: how to determine this function $\Phi(f(t,x,p))$. This separate question was studied in [5, 7, 8]).

In comparison with previous Section, we renumerate moments $A_k \equiv B_{k-1}$ (15) according to Kupershmidt's notation (see [19]), i. e. (here we replace a general solution $f(t,x,p)$ of the Russon–Smereka kinetic model by rapidly decreasing function $\Phi(f(t,x,p))$ at infinities that all integrals for moments make sense, see for instance [17], where $f(t,x,p)$ is a special solution, which has asymptotic expansion (27))

$$A_{k+1} \equiv B_k(t,x) = \int p^{k+1}\Phi(f(t,x,p))dp, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ... \tag{29}$$

Then the Russo–Smereka kinetic model (1) implies the well-known modified Benney hydrodynamic chain (here we took into account that $j \equiv B_0$ which follows from comparison of (28) with (29)) for $k = 0$)

$$\frac{\partial B_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B_{k+1}}{\partial x} - B_0\frac{\partial B_k}{\partial x} - (k+2)B_k\frac{\partial B_0}{\partial x} = 0, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ... \tag{30}$$

which was derived by Kupershmidt in more wide but in a pure mathematical context (see detail in [19] and also in [20]). This chain is connected with the Benney hydrodynamic chain (see [15] and also, for instance, [21, 22, 23])

$$\frac{\partial C_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial C_{k+1}}{\partial x} + kC_{k-1}\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial x} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., \tag{31}$$

because all moments C_k can be expressed via polynomials with respect to moments $B_0, B_1, ..., B_{k-1}$. So, this is not an invertible point transformation, this is an infinite set of Miura type transformations $C_k(B_0, ..., B_{k-1})$, which can be computed comparing the asymptotic expansions ($q \to \infty$, cf. (27))

$$f = q + \frac{C_0}{q} + \frac{C_1}{q^2} + \frac{C_2}{q^3} + ... = p\left(1 + \frac{B_0}{p} + \frac{B_1}{p^2} + \frac{B_2}{p^3} + ...\right)^{-1}, \tag{32}$$

where $q = p - B_0$. Indeed, the kinetic equation (26) transforms into the Vlasov equation (here $\tilde{\Phi}(f(t,x,q))$ is another but also rapidly decreasing solution at infinity)

$$f_t + qf_x - f_q C_{0x} = 0, \quad C_0 = \int \tilde{\Phi}(f(t,x,q))\, dq \tag{33}$$

under the substitution $q = p - j$, where $C_{0x} = j_t - jj_x$. Moreover, substitution

$$C_k = \int q^k \tilde{\Phi}(f(t,x,q))\, dq, \quad B_k = \int p^{k+1} \Phi(f(t,x,p))\, dp$$

into (26), (33), respectively, leads to the following integro-differential equations:

$$f = q + \int \frac{\tilde{\Phi}(f(t,x,q'))\, dq'}{q - q'}, \quad \frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \int \frac{p' \Phi(f(t,x,p'))dp'}{p - p'},$$

which below we shall utilize for construction of particular solutions.

Remark: A comparison of the right equation in previous formula and (19) shows that $R = -1/f$, where we took into account (2).

In comparison with Benney hydrodynamic chain (31), modified Benney chain (30) has infinite series of local conservation laws for both positive and negative values of discrete variable k (see [20]). For instance,

$$\frac{\partial B_{-1}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(B_0(1 - B_{-1})\Big) = 0,$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(B_{-2}(B_{-1} - 1)\Big) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(B_0(B_{-1} - 1)B_{-2} - \frac{1}{2}(B_{-1})^2 + B_{-1}\Big), ...$$

The computation of positive local conservation law densities can be found from an inverse asymptotic expansion to (32):

$$p = f - H_0 - \frac{H_1}{f} - \frac{H_2}{f^2} - \frac{H_3}{f^3} - ...,$$

while all negative conservation law densities can be found (see [20]) inverting another asymptotic expansion ($p \to 0$)

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{B_{-1} - 1}{p} + B_{-2} + pB_{-3} + p^2 B_{-4} + ...$$

This means that negative conservation law densities H_{-k} can be found iteratively by substitution ($f \to 0$)

$$p = (B_{-1} - 1)f + H_{-2}f^2 + H_{-3}f^3 + ...$$

5.1 Method of Hydrodynamic Reductions

The method of hydrodynamic reductions was established in [24] for Vlasov (collisionless Boltzmann) equation (33). In the case of the Russo–Smereka kinetic model (1) the same

approach is applicable. Indeed, let us consider a family of N component hydrodynamic systems written via Riemann invariants (i. e. in a diagonal form)

$$r^i_t = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r^i_x, \tag{34}$$

such that all moments $B_k(t,x)$ depend on these N Riemann invariants $r^i(t,x)$ and the distribution function $f(t,x,p)$ depends now on Riemann invariants r^k and the momentum p. In such a case, equation (26) reduces to the remarkable Löwner equations (see [24])

$$\partial_i \lambda = -\frac{p\partial_i j}{\mu^i + p - j}\lambda_p, \tag{35}$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial r^i$, and $\lambda(\mathbf{r},p)$ is a distribution function $f(t,x,p)$ restricted on the above family of N component hydrodynamic reductions, i. e. we consider the special family of solutions $f(t,x,p) = \lambda(\mathbf{r}(t,x),p)$.

One can check a consistency of Löwner equations (35) for each pair of distinct indices i and k, which imply the so called Gibbons–Tsarev system describing simultaneously all admissible functions $\mu^i(\mathbf{r})$ and the zeroth moment $B_0(\mathbf{r})$. Nevertheless, the Riemann invariants are not so appropriate coordinates for straightforward computation of these functions $\mu^i(\mathbf{r})$ and $B_0(\mathbf{r})$. By this reason, we utilize an alternative approach (see detail in [25] and in [18]), which is based on a special choice of coordinates $a^k(\mathbf{r})$ such that hydrodynamic type systems (34) are written in the so called symmetric form

$$a^k_t + \left(\frac{(a^k)^2}{2} - a^k B_0(\mathbf{a})\right)_x = 0, \tag{36}$$

where the function $B_0(\mathbf{a})$ satisfies the Gibbons–Tsarev system written in the form

$$(a^i - a^k)\partial^2_{ik} B_0 + \partial_k B_0 \hat{R} \partial_i B_0 - \partial_i B_0 \hat{R} \partial_k B_0 = 0, \quad i \neq k. \tag{37}$$

Here $\hat{R} = \Sigma a^m \partial/\partial a^m$ is a scaling operator and nonlinear system (37) can be derived, for instance, from the zero-th conservation law

$$(B_0(\mathbf{a}))_t + \left(B_1(\mathbf{a}) - \frac{3}{2}(B_0(\mathbf{a}))^2\right)_x = 0,$$

i. e. functions $B_0(\mathbf{a})$ and $B_1(\mathbf{a})$ satisfy the above conservation law for N component hydrodynamic type system if $B_0(\mathbf{a})$ is a solution of (37). Alternatively, Gibbons–Tsarev system (37) can be derived from consistency of hydrodynamic reductions (36) and their generating function of conservation laws (17), which follows from (26) under the semi-Lagrange transformation $f(t,x,p) \to p(t,x,f)$. Indeed, taking into account that in such a case $j = B_0(\mathbf{a})$ and $p(\mathbf{r},f)$, one can obtain the Löwner equations written in the symmetric form

$$\partial_i p = p\frac{\partial_i B_0(\mathbf{a})}{a^i - p}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\partial_m B_0(\mathbf{a})}{a^m - p} - 1\right)^{-1}, \tag{38}$$

where $\partial_k \equiv \partial/\partial a^k$. Then the compatibility conditions $\partial_k(\partial_i p) = \partial_i(\partial_k p)$ yield again Gibbons–Tsarev system (37).

The Gibbons–Tsarev system possesses infinitely many solutions parametrized by N arbitrary functions of a single variable for any natural number N. At this moment we can construct just multi-parametric families of solutions (see detail in [20]). Here we show simplest reductions can be found.

Suppose that $B_0(\mathbf{a}) = \Sigma b_m(a^m)$, where $b_k(z)$ are unknown functions. Substitution this ansatz into (37) implies

$$b_k' = \epsilon_k (a^k)^{\epsilon-1}, \tag{39}$$

where ϵ and ϵ_k are arbitrary parameters. If $\epsilon \neq 0$, then hydrodynamic reductions (36) take the form

$$a_t^k + \left(\frac{(a^k)^2}{2} - \frac{a^k}{\epsilon} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \epsilon_k (a^k)^{\epsilon} \right)_x = 0. \tag{40}$$

If $\epsilon = 0$, then

$$a_t^k + \left(\frac{(a^k)^2}{2} - a^k \sum_{m=1}^{N} \epsilon_k \ln a^k \right)_x = 0. \tag{41}$$

Hydrodynamic type systems (40), (41) can be integrated by the generalized hodograph method (see [26]). Thus, one can construct a general solution $a^k(t,x)$ for each of these systems in implicit form. This means that simultaneously the function $B_0(\mathbf{a}(t,x))$ can be found and corresponding solution of Löwner equations (38) too. For instance, substitution (39) into (38) leads to

$$\partial_k p = p \frac{\epsilon_k (a^k)^{\epsilon-1}}{a^k - p} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\epsilon_m (a^m)^{\epsilon}}{a^m - p} - 1 \right)^{-1}, \tag{42}$$

which can be integrated using hypergeometric functions. If ϵ are integers, then $f(\mathbf{a}, p)$ can be expressed via elementary functions. For example, if $\epsilon = 1$, integration of (42) implies

$$f(\mathbf{a}, p) = p^{\Sigma\epsilon_m - 1} \prod_{m=1}^{N} (p - a^m)^{-\epsilon_m}, \tag{43}$$

which has precisely the asymptotic expansion (27). Thus, corresponding particular solution of Russo–Smereka kinetic equation (1) depends on N arbitrary functions of a single variable, which contain in a general solution $a^k(t,x)$ of hydrodynamic reduction (40) for $\epsilon = 1$. This means that in such a case (43) contains this functional freedom changing independent field variables a^k to functions $a^k(t,x)$ according to the generalized hodograph method allowing to solve (40), i. e. we finally obtain a particular solution for the distribution function $f(t,x,p)$ given in implicit form

$$f(\mathbf{a}(t,x), p) = p^{\Sigma\epsilon_m - 1} \prod_{m=1}^{N} (p - a^m(t,x))^{-\epsilon_m}.$$

Choosing another values of the parameter ϵ or another solutions of Gibbons–Tsarev system (37), one can find much more complicated solutions $f(\mathbf{a}, p)$ of Löwner equations (38). Solving corresponding hydrodynamic type systems (36), one can find new solutions $f(\mathbf{a}(t,x), p)$ of the Russo–Smereka kinetic model.

6 Numerical results

In this section we present some results related to the numerical modelling of bubbly flows. We implement here the Nessyahu–Tadmor second-order central scheme [14]

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{u}_j^{n+1/2} = \mathbf{u}_j^n - \Lambda \mathbf{f}_j'/2, \qquad (\Lambda = \Delta t/\Delta x) \\ &\mathbf{u}_{j+1/2}^{n+1} = (\mathbf{u}_j^n + \mathbf{u}_{j+1}^n)/2 + (\mathbf{u}_j' - \mathbf{u}_{j+1}')/8 - \Lambda\big(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{j+1}^{n+1/2}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_j^{n+1/2})\big). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

This scheme approximate the system of conservation laws of the form

$$\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}))_x = 0$$

including models (12) and (24). Here Δx is the spatial grid spacing, while Δt is the time-step satisfying the Courant condition, and $\mathbf{u}_j^n = \mathbf{u}(t^n, x_j)$. The calculation domain on the x axis is divided into N cells, the cell centres are denoted by x_j. Values $\mathbf{u}_j'/\Delta x$ and $\mathbf{f}_j'/\Delta x$ are approximations of the first-order derivatives with respect to x, calculated according to the "ENO limiter" procedure [27]. At $t = 0$ the initial data $\mathbf{u}_j^0$ are specified. The boundary conditions $u(t^n, x_{1-j}) = u(t^n, x_1)$ and $u(t^n, x_{N+j}) = u(t^n, x_N)$ are used, which allow calculations to be performed until the initial perturbations reach the boundaries of the computation domain. Scheme (44) does not require exact or approximate solution of the Riemann problem that is very convenient in our case, due to a large number of equations in the system (12).



Figure 4: Distribution function f at $t = 0$ (curves *1* and *2*) and $t = 1$ (curves *3* and *4*): *1* — $x \in (-0.5, 0)$; *2* — $x \notin (-0.5, 0)$; curves *3* and *4* refer to calculation using models (24) and (12) at $x = -0.2$.



Figure 5: Density n (curves *1* – *3*) and moment j (curves *4* – *6*): *1* and *4* — $t = 0$; *2* and *5* — $t = 1$ calculation using model (24); *3* and *6* — $t = 1$ calculation using model (12).

Let us perform a comparison between the numerical solutions obtained for differential approximation (12) and for system (24) defining solutions from this special class. At $t = 0$ we define the function f by the formula (22), where $p_l(x) = 0.5$ and $p_r(x) = 2.25$

if $x \in (-0.5, 0)$, otherwise $p_l = 0.25$ and $p_r = 2$. Initial distribution function $f(x, p)$ and moments $n(x)$ and $j(x)$ are shown in Figures 4 and 5 by dashed lines.

We calculate the solution in the domain $x \in [-1, 1]$ for $N = 150$ and $M = 120$. To start calculations on the base of the model (12) we specify the unknown quantities

$$(h_1, ..., h_M, \bar{f}_1 h_1, ..., \bar{f}_M h_M, j)$$

at $t = 0$. Here $h_i = (p_r - p_l)/M$; $\bar{f}_i$ is the mean value of the function $f(0, x, p)$ in the interval (p_{i-1}, p_i), where $p_i = p_l + ih_i$. The following parameters for the special class of solutions are chosen $\mu = 0.6$, $b = 0$. A sufficiently detailed resolution in the variable p is needed due to the rapid change of the distribution function in a special class of solutions in the neighbourhood of the point p_l and p_r. Figures 4 and 5 (solid lines) show the results of computation at $t = 1$. This test confirms that the calculations of discontinuous solutions obtained by the "multilayer" approximation (12) and by the system for special solutions (24) give close results, at least for small amplitude jumps.

6.1 Kinetic roll-over

In the theory of quasineutral collisionless plasma flows the following result is known [28, 10]: during evolution the kinetic roll-over of the distribution function is possible (the formation of two peaks of the distribution function which originally had a single peak). Let us establish a similar property for the considered kinetic model for a bubbly flow.



Figure 6: Distribution function f at $t = 0$ (curve *1* — $x < 0$, curve *2* — $x > 0$) and at $t = 2$ (curve *3* — $x = -0.5$, curve *4* — $x = 0.5$).



Figure 7: Moments n and j at $t = 0$ (curves *1, 2*) and at $t = 2$ (curves *3* and *4*).

Suppose that at $t = 0$, the bubbly flow in the half-space $x < 0$ is defined by a distribution function $f = f_l(p)$, and in the half-space $x > 0$, by a distribution function

$f = f_r(p)$. In the interval $p \in (p_l, p_r)$, we choose the functions f_l and f_r as follows:

$$f_l(p) = \frac{\exp(-p^2)}{5\sqrt{\pi}\mathrm{erf}(1)}, \quad f_r(p) = \frac{\exp(-4p^2)}{5\sqrt{\pi}\mathrm{erf}(2)} \qquad (p_r = -p_l = 1)$$

(curves *1* and *2* in Figure 6); outside this interval $f_l = f_r = 0$.

Distribution function at $t = 2$ for $x = -0.5$ and $x = 0.5$ is shown in Figure 6 (curves *3* and *4*, correspondingly). It can be seen that the distribution function for fluid more saturated by bubbles (curves 1 and 3) has changed in the minimum of p. At the same time, the distribution function of the less saturated fluid (curves *2* and *4*) has qualitative changes due to kinetic roll-over. In the vicinity of $x = 0$ (point of discontinuity at $t = 0$) the distribution function f for $t > 0$ has the following form: $f \to f_l(p)$ for $p < 0$ and $f \to f_r(p)$ for $p > 0$. Note that the formation of two local peaks of the distribution function usually leads to loss of the hyperbolicity of the kinetic model. Figure 7 shows plots of the hydrodynamic moments at $t = 2$ (solid curves) and $t = 0$ (dotted). In the calculations we used the following resolution $N = 400$ and $M = 100$. Increase or decrease the number of nodes has no significant effect on the numerical results. Solution of the Riemann problem with other initial data (functions $f_l(p)$ and $f_r(p)$ have one maximum and $\int p f_l \, dp > \int p f_r \, dp$) has a similar form.

7 Conclusion

Conservation form of the Russo–Smereka kinetic equation (1) is proposed and differential conservation laws (12) approximating the model are derived. Some known fluid dynamic limits (equations (25), obtained under the assumption of local thermodynamic equilibrium, and "waterbag" reduction (18)) are special cases of this system of equations. An example of verification of the hyperbolicity conditions of the kinetic model is given. It is established that the distribution function with two peaks (Figures 1 and 2) leads to instability for a bubbly flow. Conservation laws (12) and (24) are used to perform numerical calculations of wave propagation in a bubbly flow initiated by discontinuous Cauchy data. It is shown the correspondence of the numerical results in a framework of the proposed approximation and in the special class of solutions characterized by a linear relationship between the Riemann integral invariants (Figures 4 and 5). The effect of the kinetic roll-over of the distribution function is demonstrated (Figure 6).

Acknowledgements

Authors thank S. L. Gavrilyuk for his stimulating and clarifying discussions.

AAC's work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant No. 13-01-00249) and Integration Project of SB RAS No. 30. MVP's work was partially supported by the RF Government grant 11.G34.31.0005 and by the grant of RAS "Fundamental Problems of Nonlinear Dynamics".

References

[1] Russo G., Smereka P. Kinetic theory for bubble flow I: collisionless case // SIAM J. Appl. Math. 1996. V. 56, 2. P. 327–357.

[2] Herrero H., Lucquin-Desreux B., Perthame B. On the motion of dispersed bubbles in a potential flow // SIAM J. Appl. Math. 1999. V. 60, 1. P. 61–83.

[3] Teshukov V. M., Gavrilyuk S. L. Kinetic model for the motion of compressible bubbles in perfect fluid // Europ. J. Mech. B. Fluids. 2002. V. 21. P. 469–491.

[4] Milne-Thomson L. M. Theoretical Hydrodynamics, Macmillan, London (1960).

[5] Teshukov V. M. Characteristics, conservation laws, and symmetries of the kinetic equations of motion of bubbles in a fluid // J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys. 1999. V. 40, No 2. P. 263–275.

[6] Teshukov V. M. Hyperbolicity of long-wave equations // Dokl. Akad. Nauk. 1985. V. 284, No 3. P. 555–559.

[7] Liapidevskii V. Yu., Teshukov V. M. Mathematical Models for Long-Wave Propagation in an Inhomogeneous Fluid [in Russian], Izd. Sib. Otd. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Novosibirsk (2000).

[8] Chesnokov A. A. Exact Solutions of the one-dimensional Russo — Smereka kinetic equation // J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys. 2000. V. 41, No 4, P. 593–603.

[9] Russo G., Teshukov V. M., Chesnokov A. A. Special class of solutions of the kinetic equation of a bubbly fluid // J. App. Mech. Tech. Phys. 2005. V. 46, No 2. P. 176–184.

[10] Khe A. K., Chesnokov A. A. Propagation of nonlinear perturbations in a quasineutral collisionless plasma // J. App. Mech. Tech. Phys. 2012. V. 53, No 5. P. 657–663.

[11] Stix T. The Theory of Plasma Waves, McGarw-Hill, New York (1962).

[12] Teshukov V., Russo G., Chesnokov A. Analytical and numerical solutions of the shallow water equations for 2-D rotational flows // Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 2004. V. 14. P. 1451–1479.

[13] Chesnokov A. A., Khe A. K. Is Landau damping possible in a shear fluid flow? // Stud. Appl. Math. 2013. V. 131, Iss. 4. P. 343–358.

[14] Nessyahu H., Tadmor E. Non-oscillatory central differencing schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws // J. Comp. Phys. 1990. V. 87. P. 408–463.

[15] Benney D. J. Some properties of long nonlinear waves // Stud. Appl. Math. 1973. V. 52. P. 45–50.

[16] Russo G., Smereka P. Kinetic theory for bubble flow II: fluid dynamic limit // SIAM J. Appl. Math. 1996. V. 56, N 2. P. 358–371.

[17] Davidson R. C. Methods in nonlinear plasma theory. New York (USA): Academic Press, 1972. 356 p.

[18] Pavlov M. V. Integrability of the Gibbons — Tsarev system // Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2. 2008. V. 224. P. 247–253.

[19] Kupershmidt B. A. Deformations of integrable systems // Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A. 1983. V. 83, No. 1. P. 45–74.

[20] Pavlov M. V. Kupershmidt hydrodynamic chains and lattices // IMRN. 2006.

[21] Gibbons J. Collisionless Boltzmann equations and integrable moment equations // Physica D. 1981. V. 3. P. 503–511.

[22] Kupershmidt B.A., Manin Yu.I. Long wave equations with a free surface. I. Conservation laws and solutions // Func. Anal. Appl. 1977. V. 11. No. 3 P. 31–42.

[23] Zakharov V. E. On the Benney equations // Physica D. 1981. V. 3. P. 193–202.

[24] Gibbons J., Tsarev S. P. Conformal maps and reductions of the Benney equations // Phys. Lett. A. 1999. V. 258. P. 263–271.

[25] Pavlov M. V. Algebro-geometric approach in the theory of integrable hydrodynamic type systems, Comm. Math. Phys. 2007. V. 272. No. 2. P. 469–505.

[26] Tsarev S. P. On Poisson brackets and one-dimensional Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type // Soviet Math. Dokl. 1985. V. 31. P. 488–491.

[27] Harten A., Engquist B., Osher S., Chakravarthy S. Uniformly high order accurate essentially non-oscillatory schemes III // J. Comput. Phys. 1987. V. 71. P. 231–303.

[28] Gurevich A. V., Pitaevskii L. P. Nonlinear dynamics of a rarefied plasma and ionospheric aerodynamics, in Reviews of Plasma Physics [in Russian], V. 10, Atomizdat, Moscow (1980), P. 3–87.